## **The Atmospheric Boundary Layer**

- Turbulence (9.1)
- The Surface Energy Balance (9.2)
- Vertical Structure (9.3)
- Evolution (9.4)
- Special Effects (9.5)
- The Boundary Layer in Context (9.6)





Fig. 10.2 Sketch of instrument platforms for direct sensors. (a) Mast. (b) Kytoon. (c) Instrument (screen) shelter. (d) Mesonet station. (e) Aircraft. (f) Tetroon. (g) Tower. (h) Radiosonde.









#### Diurnal cycle over land of the clear convective BL



#### **Convective BL profiles**



Fig. 6.5 Measured wind, potential temperature, and specific humidity profiles in the PBL under convective conditions on day 33 of the Wangara Experiment. [From Deardorff (1978).]

#### Moderately stable BL profiles



Fig. 6.7 Observed vertical profiles of mean wind components and potential temperatu and the calculated Ri profile in the nocturnal PBL under moderately stable condition [From Deardorff (1978); after Izumi and Barad (1963).]

#### Highly stable BL profiles



Fig. 6.8 Observed wind and potential temperature profiles under very stable (sporadic turbulence) conditions at night during the Wangara Experiment. [From Deardorff (1978).]

- The surface layer wind profile is determined by the surface layer turbulence.
- By dimensional analysis,  $\partial V/\partial z \sim$  (turbulence velocity scale) / (turbulence length scale).
- $u_*$  is an appropriate turbulence velocity scale, and is nearly constant within the surface layer.
- z is an appropriate turbulence length scale because eddy size  $\sim z$ .
- Therefore,  $\partial V/\partial z \sim u_*/z$ , or  $\partial V/\partial z = u_*/(kz)$ .

To obtain V(z), integrate

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = \frac{u_*}{kz}$$

from  $z = z_0$  where V = 0 to z:

$$\int_{0}^{V} dV = \frac{u_{*}}{k} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{u_{*}}{k} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} d\log z$$

The result is

$$V = \frac{u_*}{k} (\log z - \log z_0) = \frac{u_*}{k} \log\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$$

$$V = \frac{u_*}{k} \log\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$$

 $k \approx 0.4$  is the von Karman constant, and  $z_0$  is the *aerodynamic roughness length*. **Table 9.2** The Davenport classification, where  $z_o$  is aerodynamic roughness length and  $C_{DN}$  is the corresponding drag coefficient for neutral static stability<sup>*a*</sup>

z <sub>0</sub> (m)	Classification	Landscape	C <sub>DN</sub>
0.0002	Sea	Calm sea, paved areas, snow-covered flat plain, tide flat, smooth desert.	0.0014
0.005	Smooth	Beaches, pack ice, morass, snow-covered fields.	0.0028
0.03	Open	Grass prairie or farm fields, tundra, airports, heather.	0.0047
0.1	Roughly open	Cultivated area with low crops and occasional obstacles (single bushes).	0.0075
0.25	Rough	High crops, crops of varied height, scattered obstacles such as trees or hedgerows, vineyards.	0.012
0.5	Very rough	Mixed farm fields and forest clumps, orchards, scattered buildings.	0.018
1.0	Closed	Regular coverage with large size obstacles with open spaces roughly equal to obstacle heights, suburban houses, villages, mature forests.	0.030
≥2	Chaotic	Centers of large towns and cities, irregular forests with scattered clearings.	0.062

<sup>a</sup> From Preprints 12th Amer. Meteorol. Soc. Symposium on Applied Climatology, 2000, pp. 96–99.

$$|\mathbf{V}| = \frac{u_*}{k} \log\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$$

$$u_*^2 = C_D |\mathbf{V}|^2$$

$$|\mathbf{V}| = \frac{u_*}{k} \log\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$$

$$u_*^2 = C_D |\mathbf{V}|^2$$

$$C_D = \frac{u_*^2}{|\mathbf{V}|^2}$$

$$|\mathbf{V}| = \frac{u_*}{k} \log\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$$
$$u_*^2 = C_D |\mathbf{V}|^2$$



$$|\mathbf{V}| = \frac{u_*}{k} \log\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$$
$$u_*^2 = C_D |\mathbf{V}|^2$$



Surface Roughness and Logarithmic Sublayer

# Drag Coefficient (C<sub>D</sub>)

In practice the drag coefficient is given usually with respect to the wind speed at z=10m and for neutral conditions ( $C_{DN10}$ )

Typical values of the drag coefficient over the land are significantly larger than over the water

$$C_{D \text{ land}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$C_{D \text{ water}} \approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$$



**Table 9.2** The Davenport classification, where  $z_o$  is aerodynamic roughness length and  $C_{DN}$  is the corresponding drag coefficient for neutral static stability<sup>*a*</sup>

z <sub>0</sub> (m)	Classification	Landscape	C <sub>DN</sub>
0.0002	Sea	Calm sea, paved areas, snow-covered flat plain, tide flat, smooth desert.	0.0014
0.005	Smooth	Beaches, pack ice, morass, snow-covered fields.	0.0028
0.03	Open	Grass prairie or farm fields, tundra, airports, heather.	0.0047
0.1	Roughly open	Cultivated area with low crops and occasional obstacles (single bushes).	0.0075
0.25	Rough	High crops, crops of varied height, scattered obstacles such as trees or hedgerows, vineyards.	0.012
0.5	Very rough	Mixed farm fields and forest clumps, orchards, scattered buildings.	0.018
1.0	Closed	Regular coverage with large size obstacles with open spaces roughly equal to obstacle heights, suburban houses, villages, mature forests.	0.030
≥2	Chaotic	Centers of large towns and cities, irregular forests with scattered clearings.	0.062

<sup>a</sup> From Preprints 12th Amer. Meteorol. Soc. Symposium on Applied Climatology, 2000, pp. 96–99.

In a neutral surface layer,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = \frac{u_*}{kz}$$

This can be generalized by defining a dimensionless wind shear:

$$\Phi_m = \frac{kz}{u_*} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}$$

In a neutral surface layer,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = \frac{u_*}{kz}$$

This can be generalized by defining a dimensionless wind shear:

$$\Phi_m = \frac{kz}{u_*} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}$$

Then for a neutral surface layer

$$\Phi_m = 1$$

but for stable or unstable surface layers

$$\Phi_m \neq 1$$

For stable or unstable surface layers, there is an additional length scale, the *Obukov length* 

$$L \equiv \frac{-u_*^3}{k(g/T_v)(\overline{w'\theta'})_s}$$

For stable or unstable surface layers, there is an additional length scale, the *Obukov length* 

$$L \equiv \frac{-u_*^3}{k(g/T_v)(\overline{w'\theta'})_s}$$

The magnitude of L is the height below which mechanical (shear) production of turbulence dominates over buoyancy production or loss.

For stable or unstable surface layers, there is an additional length scale, the *Obukov length* 

$$L \equiv \frac{-u_*^3}{k(g/T_v)(\overline{w'\theta'})_s}$$

The magnitude of L is the height below which mechanical (shear) production of turbulence dominates over buoyancy production or loss.

In stable surface layers: L > 0. In unstable surface layers: L < 0. In neutral surface layers:  $L = \pm \infty$ .

We assume that  $\Phi_M$  is a function of the non-dimensional height z/L.

For stable surface layers (z/L > 0), measurements fit the empirical relationship

$$\Phi_m = 1 + 8.1 \frac{z}{L}$$

We assume that  $\Phi_M$  is a function of the non-dimensional height z/L.

For stable surface layers (z/L > 0), measurements fit the empirical relationship

$$\Phi_m = 1 + 8.1 \frac{z}{L}$$

For unstable surface layers (z/L < 0), measurements fit the empirical relationship

$$\Phi_m = \left[1 - 15\left(\frac{z}{L}\right)\right]^{-1/4}$$

This is the correct version for WH Eq. (9.26).

Exercise 9.4 Integrate

$$\Phi_m = \frac{kz}{u_*} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = 1 + 8.1 \frac{z}{L}$$

to obtain the wind speed profile. Assume that  $V(z_0) = 0$ and that  $u_*$  and L are constants.

Exercise 9.4 Integrate

$$\Phi_m = \frac{kz}{u_*} \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = 1 + 8.1 \frac{z}{L}$$

to obtain the wind speed profile. Assume that  $V(z_0) = 0$ and that  $u_*$  and L are constants.

Solution: (derived in WH and in class)

$$\frac{V}{u_*} = \frac{1}{k} \left[ \log \frac{z}{z_0} + 8.1 \frac{z - z_0}{L} \right]$$

This is a *log-linear* profile.

#### Surface Layer Wind Profiles for Different Static Stabilities





20-0.1 111

z0 is z where V=0

#### WH Fig 9.17 The stable and unstable profiles are wrong. These profiles do NOT cross over each other, or cross over the neutral profile.



#### **STOP HERE**

#### Move to EVOLUTION slides



#### Move to SEB slides

## Time and Space Variations in Boundary-Layer Structure

Mean January surface sensible + latent heat fluxes



## Cover these before HW problems from Stull Ch. 5

## Nonlocal influence of Stratification on Turbulence and Stability

# Recall HW2 problem 5.2

z (m)	θ, (K)	U (m/s)
80	305	18
70	305	17
60	301	15
50	300	14
40	298	10
30	294	8
20	292	7
10	292	7
0	293	2

- Is the middle layer neutral?
- Is the bottom layer stable?



• Let's work it out for this profile!

z (km)	T(°C)	<i>U</i> (m s <sup>−1</sup> )
13	-58	30
11	-58	60
8	-30	25
5	-19	20
3	-3	18
2.5	1	9
2	2	8
1.6	0	5
0.2	13	5
0	18	0

z (km)	T(°C)	U (m s <sup>−1</sup> )	θ(°C)	Tavg (k)	$\Delta z$ (m)	$\Delta U$ (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>Δ</b> θ (K)
13	-58	30	69.4				
11	-58	60	49.8	215.15	2000	-30	19.6
8	30	25	48.8	229.15	3000	35	1.4
5	-19	20	30	248.65	3000	5	18.4
3	-3	18	26.4	262.15	2000	2	3.6
2.5	1	9	25.5	272.15	500	9	0.9
2	2	8	21.6	274.65	500	1	3.9
1.6	0	5	15.68	274.15	400	3	5.92
0.2	13	5	14.96	279.65	1400	0	0.72
0	18	0	18	288.65	200	5	-3.04



Layer (km)	R <sub>B</sub>	Dynamically	Statically	Turbulen
11 to 13	1.98	Stable	Stable	no
8 to 11	0.15	Unstable	Stable	yes
5 to 8	87.02	Stable	Stable	no
3 to 5	67.29	Stable	Stable	no
2.5 to 3	0.20	Unstable	Stable	yes
2 to 2.5	69.58	Stable	Stable	no
1.6 to 2	9.41	Stable	Unstable to 1.8 km	yes to 1.8 km
0.2 to 1.6	$+\infty$	(undefined)	Unstable	yes
0 to 0.2	-0.83	Unstable	Unstable	yes