Shapiro-Keyser Frontal Cyclone Model

Jim Steenburgh
University of Utah
Jim.Steenburgh@utah.edu

Supplemental Reading: Shapiro and Keyser (1990) and Schultz et al. (1998)

Shapiro–Keyser Model

- Integrates observational analysis (including aircraft) and numerical simulations of cyclones
- Numerical simulations include idealized and real-data simulations
- Developed for intense marine cyclones

Idealized Simulations

- Loss of cold-frontal baroclinity (frontolysis) near low center during early stages of cyclogenesis
  – Cold front never really forms
- Westward migration of warm-frontal baroclinity into polar airstream behind low center



Source: Schar (1989), Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Idealized Simulations

- Formation of a *warm-core seclusion* in the post-cold-frontal air
- Strongest baroclinity occurs within the *bent-back warm front* to rear of low center



Source: Schar (1989), Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Real-Data Simulations QEII Storm



QE-II Cyclone
Poorly Forecast



QE II Ocean Liner (NOT A CRUISE SHIP)
Battered during QE II Storm
The dragger *Captain Cosmo* lost at sea

Source: Wikipedia Commons, Gyakum (1983), Uccellini (1986)

Real-Data Simulations QEII Storm



Source: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XS-KZXiV8DQ

Real-Data Simulations QEII Storm



- Incipient cyclone forms within broad baroclinic zone
  - This may be a bit exaggerated given how initial conditions are created
- Contraction of warm and cold frontal baroclinic zones
- "Fracturing" of previously continuous frontal zone near low center

Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Real-Data Simulations QEII Storm



- Narrowing of warm sector
- Westward development of warm front into northerly airstream behind low (T-bone stage)
- Formation of warm core seclusion
  - Not from warm-sector air

Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Aircraft Obs of Marine Cyclones

- Frontal T-bone and cold-frontal fracture near low center





Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Aircraft Obs of Marine Cyclones

- Warm-core seclusion



Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Resulting Conceptual Model

- Incipient frontal cyclone
  - Continuous & broad frontal zone representing birthplace of frontal cyclone
- Frontal fracture
  - "Fracture of frontal zone near low center
  - Contraction of warm and cold frontal gradients



Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Resulting Conceptual Model

- Frontal T-bone and bent-back front
- Warm-core seclusion
  - Forms in polar air, not from warm sector



Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Debate about S–K Model

- Completely ignores occlusion process
- Frontal fracture overstates what is actually occurring–a weakening of the cold front near the low center
- Nomenclature of bent-back warm front causes confusion
- Conceptualization of Godske et al. (1957) may be just as good
- Perhaps a spectrum of life cycles are possible and either Shapiro and Keyser (1990) or Godske et al. (1957) are useful depending on the situation?

Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

What Might Influence Cyclone Structure?



Source: Shapiro and Keyser (1990)

Large-Scale Flow (Idealized)



Source: Davies et al. (1991)

Effects of Deformation

- The axis of dilatation is a collector of isotherms and the locus for frontogenesis



Large-Scale Flow (Observed)



Source: Schultz et al. (1998)

Large-Scale Flow (Observed)



Source: Schultz et al. (1998)

Really Idealized



"Doswell Vortex"



Source: Schultz et al. (1998)

Really Idealized



<u>Background Diffluence</u>

More meridionally oriented dilatation axes and fronts

Narrowing warm sector and tongue



<u>Background Confluence</u>

More zonally oriented dilatation axes and fronts

Frontal T-Bone

Source: Schultz et al. (1998)

Norwegian vs. S–K



Deformation acts to stretch warm tongue and narrow warm sector

Norwegian-like occlusion process



Deformation strengthens warm front

Causes frontolysis/frontal fracture of cold front near warm front

S-K like T-bone

Source: Schultz et al. (1998)

Summary

- Key features of Shapiro-Keyser model influence
  - Frontal fracture, frontal T-bone, warm-core seclusion, bent-back warm front
- Works well for some intense marine cyclones, but Godske et al. (1957) also effective and may be better for others
- Downstream confluence favors a strong warm front and frontal T-bone
- Downstream diffluence favors a narrowing warm sector and warm tongue (i.e., occluded like)

Class Activity

Analyze the cyclone below using the Godske et al. (1957) and Shapiro-Keyser Models
Discuss the strengths and weakenesses of each model for this storm